| | TECHNISCHE HOCHSCHULE NÜRNBERG |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | GEORG SIMON OHM |

| Evaluation Questionnaire | | TEC GEO | HNISCHE ORG SIMON | HOCHSCHULE N OHM | E NURNBERG | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------|--|
| Name of Seminar: Semester: | - | | | | | |
| Lecturer: | | | | | | |
| Dear participant, | | | | | | |
| Please fill out this evaluation form with a black or dark blue pen or marker. | | | | | | |
| This form will be evaluated mechanically. Please fill it out in the following mar If you wish to correct your answer, please blacken out the wrongly-marked circ | | | # ⊗ | | | |
| How often have you visited this lecture? | O Regularly (50% or O Irregularly (less more) 50%) | | | ess than | | |
| Lecture Contents | | | | | | |
| | Fully agree | | | Fully disagree | | |
| - The contents of the course were well-structured. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The materials and scripts of the course were complete and comprehensible. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The course sufficiently combined theory as well as practical applications and exercises. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The lecture contents fulfilled the learning objective. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The difficult facts were explained clearly. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Information Transfer (Didactics) | | | | | | |
| , . | Fully agree Fully di | | | y disagree | | |
| - Information was taught in a structured manner (a common thread throughout). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The manner in which was taught was motivating and inspiring. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The manner in which was taught was clear and comprehensible. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The teaching method was supported by good written material. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The usage of Moodle helped to circulate and consolidate the learning material. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The teaching method helped to maintain a high level of attention. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Lecturer | | | | | | |
| | Fully agree | | Fully disagree | | | |
| - The lecturer was professionally competent. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The lecturer was always well-prepared. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The lecturer was personally committed and motivated. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The lecturer presented confidently. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The lecturer's answers to questions were clear and detailed. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The pace of the lecture was appropriate. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Motivation | • | | | | | |
| | Fully agree | | | Fully | y disagree | |
| - The course has contributed to a better understanding of this course subject. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - Student's participation and interaction was encouraged. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - The course included topics that I personally found interesting. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

- I learned the basic concepts of this course subject.

| | | ı |
|----------|------------|---|
| Ω | 1 | ı |
| 49 | <u>.</u> _ | ı |

Presentations and Teamwork (if applicable)

| | Fully agre | е | | Full | y disagree |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---|---|------|------------|
| - The selected presentation topics were appropriate for the subject of the course. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - The presentations were both well-prepared as well as presented. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - The lecturer gave the participants sufficient guidance on how to prepare the presentations. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Overall Estimation

| | very good | | | | very poor |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| - My overall estimation of the course was | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The workload of the course (per semester) was: (apart from lecture time - e.g | . research, l | earning, ex | am prep. | etc.) | |
| OLess than 1 hour per week or less than 15 hours per semester. | | | | | |
| O1-2 hours per week or 15-30 hours per semester. | | | | | |
| O2-3 hours per week or between 30-45 hours per semester. | | | | | |
| O3-4 hours per week or between 45-60 hours per semester. | | | | | |
| O4-5 hours per week or between 60-75 hours per semester. | | | | | |
| OMore than 5 hours per week or more than 75 hours per semester | | | | | |
| | O2 hou | rs a week | O4 | hours a | week |
| The lecture time in addition was: | OOther specify. | • | | | |

Other Comments

(Please write your comments in capital letters, in order to ensure anonymity)

| What did you particularly like in this course? |
|----------------------------------------------------|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| What did you particularly NOT like in this course? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| What could be improved in the future? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Thank you for your participation!

29.2